GEOMETRIC AND TOPOLOGICAL INFERENCE FOR DATA ANALYSIS

XIMENA FERNÁNDEZ

Applied Algebra and Geometry in the UK 11th meeting 15th December 2020

Liverpool-Oxford-Swansea Centre for Topological Data Analysis



Motivation

Data Analysis





Embedding of a ECG signal.

Embed. air sac pressure record of a canary during singing.



Trefoil knot with noise and outliers.

• Geometric inference deals with the problem of inferring information about a geometric object from a finite **sample**.

- Geometric inference deals with the problem of inferring information about a geometric object from a finite **sample**.
- Two unknown parameters are implicit in the sample:
 - the probability distribution,
 - the underlying geometry.

- Geometric inference deals with the problem of inferring information about a geometric object from a finite **sample**.
- Two unknown parameters are implicit in the sample:
 - the probability distribution,
 - the underlying geometry.
- The aim is to find estimators of:
 - the density of the distribution,
 - the dimension (of the manifold),
 - the distance (of the metric space),
 - the geometry itself,
 - the homology.

 (\mathcal{M},g) a Riemannian manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D with inherited geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{M}}$.

 (\mathcal{M},g) a Riemannian manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D with inherited geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{M}}$.

• **Good news:** *Locally*, geodesic distance can be approximated by Euclidean distance.



 (\mathcal{M},g) a Riemannian manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D with inherited geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{M}}$.

• **Good news:** *Locally*, geodesic distance can be approximated by Euclidean distance.



• **Bad news** (curse of dimensionality): In high dimensional Euclidean spaces, the points essentially become uniformly distant from each other.

 (\mathcal{M},g) a Riemannian manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D with inherited geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{M}}$.

• **Good news:** *Locally*, geodesic distance can be approximated by Euclidean distance.



- Bad news (curse of dimensionality): In high dimensional Euclidean spaces, the points essentially become uniformly distant from each other.
- M. Bernstein, V. D. Silva, J. C. Langford, and J. B. Tenenbaum. *Graph approximations to geodesics on embedded manifolds*, 2000.

 (\mathcal{M}, g) a *d*-dimensional Riemannian manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D with inherited geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ a density function.

 (\mathcal{M}, g) a *d*-dimensional Riemannian manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D with inherited geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ a density function.

- For p > 1 define a new (Riemannian) metric tensor $g_p := f^{2(1-p)/d}g$.
- The induced deformed Riemannian distance in ${\mathcal M}$ is

$$d_{f,p}(x,y) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{I} \frac{1}{f(\gamma_t)^{(p-1)/d}} ||\dot{\gamma}_t|| dt.$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth curves $\gamma: I \to \mathcal{M}$ with $\gamma(0) = x$, and $\gamma(1) = y$.

 $d_{f,p}$ is called *p*-**Fermat distance** by analogy the Fermat principle in optics.

Fermat Distance



 $\mathbb{X}_n \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ a set of *n* sample points with common density *f*. We look for a **computable estimator** of $d_{f,p}$ from the sample.



Sample Fermat distance

For p > 1, the sample Fermat distance between x, y is defined by

$$d_{\mathbb{X}_n,p}(x,y) = \inf_{\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^r |x_{i+1} - x_i|^p$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths $\gamma = (x_0, \ldots, x_{r+1})$ of finite length with $x_0 = x$, $x_{r+1} = y$ and $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{X}_n$.













Eyeglasses curve. A sample of 2000 points with Gaussian noise.





Fermat p = 2.5





Sample Fermat distance was independently introduced in:

- D. Mckenzie and S. Damelin. *Power weighted shortest paths for clustering euclidean data.* Foundations of Data Science, 1(3):307, 2019.
- P. Groisman, M. Jonckheere, and F. Sapienza. *Nonhomogeneous euclidean first-passage percolation and distance learning.* arXiv:1810.09398, 2018.

Theorem (Groisman, Jonckheere, Sapienza (2018))

Let \mathcal{M} be an **isometric**^{*} C^1 *d*-dimensional manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D . Then, there exists $\mu = \mu(p, d) > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{n^{(p-1)/d}}{\mu} d_{\mathbb{X}_n,p}(x,y) = d_{f,p}(x,y) \text{ almost surely.}$$

^{*} \mathcal{M} is an isometric *d*-dimensional C^1 manifold embedded in \mathbb{R}^D if there exists $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ an open connected set and $\varphi : \overline{S} \to \mathbb{R}^D$ an isometric transformation such that $\varphi(\overline{S}) = \mathcal{M}$.

Theorem (Hwang, Damelin, Hero (2016))

Let \mathcal{M} be a compact smooth *d*-dimensional manifold without boundary. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and b > 0, there exists $\theta = \theta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for all sufficiently large *n*,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{x,y:d_{\mathcal{M}}(x,y) \ge b} \left| \frac{\frac{n^{(p-1)/d}}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{X}_{n},p}(x,y)^{\dagger}}{d_{f,p}(x,y)} - 1 \right| > \varepsilon\right) \le \exp(-\theta n^{1/(d+2p)})$$

In particular, for every $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{n^{(p-1)/d}}{\mu} L_{\mathbb{X}_n,p}(x,y) = \mu d_{f,p}(x,y) \text{ almost surely.}$$

 ${}^{\dagger}L_{\mathbb{X}_n}(x,y) = \inf_{\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^r d_{\mathcal{M}}(x_{i+1},x_i)^p, \text{ where the infimum is taken over all paths}$ $\gamma = (x_0,\ldots,x_{r+1}) \text{ with } x_0 = x, x_{r+1} = y \text{ and } \{x_1,\ldots,x_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{X}_n.$

Theorem 1 (Borghini, F., Groisman, Mindlin, 2020)

Let \mathcal{M} be a compact smooth d-dimensional manifold without boundary. Then, for every p > 1 and $\lambda \in \left(\frac{p-1}{pd}, \frac{1}{d}\right)$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\theta > 0$ such that, for n large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{x,y\in\mathcal{M}}\left|\frac{n^{(p-1)/d}}{\mu}d_{\mathbb{X}_n,p}(x,y)-d_{f,p}(x,y)\right|>\varepsilon\right)\leqslant\exp\left(-\theta n^{\frac{1-\lambda d}{d+2p}}\right).$$

- Population metric space: $(\mathcal{M}, d_{f,p})$.
- Sample metric space: $\left(\mathbb{X}_{n}, \frac{n^{(p-1)/d}}{\mu} d_{\mathbb{X}_{n}, p}\right)$.

- Population metric space: $(\mathcal{M}, d_{f,p})$.
- Sample metric space: $\left(\mathbb{X}_n, \frac{n^{(p-1)/d}}{\mu} d_{\mathbb{X}_n, p}\right)$.

The Gromov–Hausdorff distance between $(\mathbb{X}, \rho_{\mathbb{X}}), (\mathbb{Y}, \rho_{\mathbb{Y}})$ is

$$d_{GH}((\mathbb{X},\rho_{\mathbb{X}}),(\mathbb{Y},\rho_{\mathbb{Y}})) := \inf\{d_{H}(h_{1}(\mathbb{X}),h_{2}(\mathbb{Y}))\},\$$

where the infimum is over all the isometric embeddings $h_1: \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{W}$, $h_2: \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{W}$ in a common metric space \mathbb{W} and d_H stands for the Hausdorff distance.

- Population metric space: $(\mathcal{M}, d_{f,p})$.
- Sample metric space: $\left(\mathbb{X}_n, \frac{n(p-1)/d}{\mu} d_{\mathbb{X}_n, p}\right)$.

Theorem 2 (Borghini, F., Groisman, Mindlin, 2020)

Let \mathcal{M} be a compact smooth *d*-dimensional manifold without boundary. Then, for every p > 1 and $\lambda \in \left(\frac{p-1}{pd}, \frac{1}{d}\right)$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\theta > 0$ such that, for *n* large enough,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(d_{GH}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}, d_{f,p}\right), \left(\mathbb{X}_{n, \frac{n(p-1)/d}{\mu}} d_{\mathbb{X}_{n}, p}\right)\right) > \varepsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(-\theta n^{(1-\lambda d)/(d+2p)}\right)$$

Topological Inference

Point cloud: (X_n, ρ_n)



Point cloud: (\mathbb{X}_n, ρ_n)



Estimator: $\bigcup_i B(x_i, \varepsilon)$

Point cloud: (\mathbb{X}_n, ρ_n)



Estimator: $\bigcup_i B(x_i, \varepsilon)$

 $\operatorname{Filt}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbb{X}_n, \rho_n)$



Estimator: $\bigcup_i B(x_i, \varepsilon)$

 $\operatorname{Filt}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbb{X}_n, \rho_n)$

Approximation of persistence diagrams

- Population persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(\mathcal{M}, \rho))$.
- Sample persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(X_n, \rho_n))$.

Approximation of persistence diagrams

- Population persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(\mathcal{M}, \rho))$.
- Sample persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(X_n, \rho_n))$.

The bottleneck distance between dgm_1 and dgm_2 is

$$d_b(\operatorname{dgm}_1, \operatorname{dgm}_2) = \inf_M \max_{(x,y) \in M} |x - y|_{\infty}.$$



Approximation of persistence diagrams

- Population persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(\mathcal{M}, \rho))$.
- Sample persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(X_n, \rho_n))$.

The bottleneck distance between dgm_1 and dgm_2 is

$$d_b(\operatorname{dgm}_1, \operatorname{dgm}_2) = \inf_M \max_{(x,y) \in M} |x - y|_{\infty}.$$



Stability Theorem

Let X, Y be precompact metric spaces. Then,

 $d_b \big(\operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(X)), \operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(Y)) \big)^{\ddagger} \leq 2 d_{GH}(X, Y) \leq 2 d_H(X, Y)$

where the last inequality holds if X, Y are embedded in the same metric space.

 $^{{}^{\}ddagger}\mathsf{Here}\ \mathrm{Filt}\ \mathsf{will}\ \mathsf{denote}\ \mathsf{either}\ \mathrm{Rips}\ \mathsf{or}\ \check{\mathrm{Cech}}\ \mathsf{filtration}.$

Convergence of persistence diagrams

- Population persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(\mathcal{M}, \rho))$.
- Sample persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(X_n, \rho_n))$.

Theorem (Chazal, Glisse, Labruere, Michel, 2015)

Let (X, ρ) be a compact metric space. Let X_n be a sample of X from a measure μ with support X that satisfies the (a, b)-condition[§]. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(d_{b}(\operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(\mathbb{X})), \operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(\mathbb{X}_{n}))\right) > \varepsilon\right) \leq \min\left\{\frac{2^{b}}{a\varepsilon^{b}}\exp(-na\varepsilon^{b}), 1\right\}.$$

[§] For all r > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\mu(B(x, r)) \ge \min(1, ar^b)$.

Convergence of persistence diagrams

- Population persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(\mathcal{M}, \rho))$.
- Sample persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(X_n, \rho_n))$.

Theorem (Chazal, Glisse, Labruere, Michel, 2015)

Let (X, ρ) be a compact metric space. Let X_n be a sample of X from a measure μ with support X that satisfies the (a, b)-condition[§]. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(d_{b}(\operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(\mathbb{X})), \operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(\mathbb{X}_{n}))\right) > \varepsilon\right) \leq \min\left\{\frac{2^{b}}{a\varepsilon^{b}}\exp(-na\varepsilon^{b}), 1\right\}.$$

 B. T. Fasy, F. Lecci, A. Rinaldo, L. Wasserman, S. Balakrishnan, and A. Singh. *Confidence sets for persistence diagrams*. Ann. Statist., 42(6):2301–2339, 2014.

§ For all r > 0 and $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\mu(B(x, r)) \ge \min(1, ar^b)$.

- **Population persistence diagram**: $dgm(Filt(\mathcal{M}, d_{f,p}))$.
- Sample persistence diagram: $dgm(Filt(X_n, \frac{n(p-1)/d}{\mu}d_{X_n,p}))$.

Theorem 3 (Borghini, F., Groisman, Mindlin, 2020) Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in \left(\frac{p-1}{pd}, \frac{1}{d}\right)$ there exists a constant $\theta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left(d_b\left(\operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(\mathcal{M}, d_{f,p})), \operatorname{dgm}(\operatorname{Filt}(\mathbb{X}_n, \frac{n(p-1)/d}{\mu}d_{\mathbb{X}_n,p}))\right) > \varepsilon\right)$ $\leq \exp\left(-\theta n^{(1-\lambda d)/(d+2p)}\right)$

for *n* large enough.

 $10^{-0.3}_{-0.4}$







A sample of 1500 points from the trefoil knot with noise and outliers.



A sample of 1500 points from the trefoil knot with noise and outliers.





A sample of 1500 points from the trefoil knot with noise and outliers.







A sample of 1500 points from the trefoil knot with noise and outliers.





26

-2

2

Applications

Electrocardiogram signal with abnormal heartbeat (arrhythmia).



[¶]Data from Physionet database, MIT Laboratory for Computational Physiology.

Electrocardiogram signal with abnormal heartbeat (arrhythmia).





[¶]Data from Physionet database, MIT Laboratory for Computational Physiology.

Electrocardiogram signal with abnormal heartbeat (arrhythmia).





Persistence diagrams with Fermat distance for p = 2.

[¶]Data from Physionet database, MIT Laboratory for Computational Physiology.

Electrocardiogram signal with abnormal heartbeat (arrhythmia).



Persistence diagrams with Fermat distance for p = 2.



[¶]Data from Physionet database, MIT Laboratory for Computational Physiology.

Observation of the pressure in the air sacs of a canary during singing.



^{||}Data from experimental records, Laboratory of Dynamical Systems, Physics Department, University of Buenos Aires.

Observation of the pressure in the air sacs of a canary during singing.





^{||}Data from experimental records, Laboratory of Dynamical Systems, Physics Department, University of Buenos Aires.

Observation of the pressure in the air sacs of a canary during singing.



Data from experimental records, Laboratory of Dynamical Systems, Physics Department, University of Buenos Aires.

A canary song is composed by a concatenation of different syllabus patterns in the pressure in their air sacs.



A canary song is composed by a concatenation of different syllabus patterns in the pressure in their air sacs.



Work in progress: Fit parameters of physical models of the underlying dynamical system using this correspondence between pressure patterns and 1-dimensional cycles.

- E. Borghini, X. F., P. Groisman, G. Mindlin. Intrinsic persistent homology via density-based distance learning. arXiv:2012.07621 (2020)
- Code: https://github.com/ximenafernandez/intrinsicPH
- Python library fermat. Author: F. Sapienza Documentation: http://www.aristas.com.ar/fermat/index.html.

email: x.l.fernandez@swansea.ac.uk

THANKS!