Morse theory for group presentations

Ximena Fernández

Categories & Topology. Mathematical Congress of the Americas 2021

Swansea University
EPSRC Centre for Topological Data Analysis

The Andrews–Curtis conjecture

Groups and group presentations

Group presentations $\longleftrightarrow$ Groups

$\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n \mid r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m \rangle$ $\qquad$ $G = F(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n)/N(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m)$

Groups and group presentations

$$\text{Group presentations} \longleftrightarrow \text{Groups}$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n \mid r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m \rangle \qquad G = F(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n)/N(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m)$$

Theorem [Novikov-Boone, 1955-1958]

There exists a finitely presented group G such that the word problem for G is **undecidable**.

Theorem [Adian-Rabin, 1957-1958]

The isomorphism problem in groups is **undecidable**.

Tietze's transformations

Theorem [Tietze, 1908]

Any finite presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle$ of a group G can be transformed into any other presentation of the same group by a finite sequence of the following **operations**:

1. replace some relator r_i by r_i^{-1};
2. replace some relator r_i by $r_i r_j$ for some $j \neq i$;
3. replace some relator r_i by a conjugate $w r_i w^{-1}$ for some w in the free group $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$;
4. replace each relator r_i by $\phi(r_i)$ where ϕ is an automorphism of $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$;
5. add a generator x_{n+1} and a relator r_{m+1} that coincides with x_{n+1}, or the inverse of this operation;
6. add a relator 1, or the inverse of this operation.

Tietze's transformations

Theorem [Tietze, 1908]

Any finite presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid r_1, \ldots, r_m \rangle$ of a group G can be transformed into any other presentation of the same group by a finite sequence of the following **operations**:

Q^{**} {

1. replace some relator r_i by r_i^{-1};
2. replace some relator r_i by $r_i r_j$ for some $j \neq i$;
3. replace some relator r_i by a conjugate $w r_i w^{-1}$ for some w in the free group $F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$;
4. replace each relator r_i by $\phi(r_i)$ where ϕ is an automorphism of $F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$;
5. add a generator x_{n+1} and a relator r_{m+1} that coincides with x_{n+1}, or the inverse of this operation;

}

6. add a relator 1, or the inverse of this operation.

The Andrews–Curtis conjecture

Conjecture [Andrews & Curtis, 1965]

Any balanced presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle$ of the trivial group can be transformed into $\langle \mid \rangle$ by a finite sequence of Q^{**}-transformations.

The Andrews–Curtis conjecture

Conjecture [Andrews & Curtis, 1965]

Any balanced presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \mid r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle$ of the trivial group can be transformed into $\langle \mid \rangle$ by a finite sequence of Q^{**}-transformations.

Potential counterexamples

- $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid xyx = yxy,\ x^n = y^{n+1} \rangle,\ n \geqslant 2$ [Akbulut & Kirby, 1985]
- $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^{-1}y^n x = y^{n+1},\ x = y^{-1}xyx^{-1} \rangle,\ n \geqslant 2$ [Miller & Schupp, 1999]
- $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x = [x^m, y^n], y = [y^p, x^q] \rangle$ $n, m, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ [Gordon, 1984]

Topological point of view

Group presentations $\longleftrightarrow$ CW-complexes of dim 2

Topological point of view

Group presentations $\longleftrightarrow$ CW-complexes of dim 2

$\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{P}}$

$\langle x, y \mid xyx^{-1}y^{-1}\rangle \longrightarrow$



Topological point of view

Group presentations $\longleftrightarrow$ CW-complexes of dim 2

$\mathcal{P}_K \longleftarrow K$

$\langle x_1 \ldots, x_9 \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1}x_7^{-1}x_3,\ x_3^{-1}x_9,\ x_1^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_{10},\ x_4^{-1}x_5,\ x_5x_6^{-1},\ x_7,\ x_6x_7^{-1}x_8,\ x_8^{-1}x_9,\ x_6x_9^{-1}\rangle \longleftarrow$



Topological point of view

Group presentations	⟷	CW-complexes of dim 2
Balanced presentations of the trivial group	⟷	Contractible 2-complexes

Topological point of view

Group presentations	$\longleftrightarrow$	CW-complexes of dim 2
Balanced presentations of the trivial group	$\longleftrightarrow$	Contractible 2-complexes
$\sim_{Q^{**}}$	$\longleftrightarrow$	

Topological point of view

Group presentations	⟷	CW-complexes of dim 2
Balanced presentations of the trivial group	⟷	Contractible 2-complexes
$\sim_{Q^{**}}$	⟷	$\nearrow\!\searrow^{3}$



Topological point of view

Group presentations	$\longleftrightarrow$	CW-complexes of dim 2
Balanced presentations of the trivial group	$\longleftrightarrow$	Contractible 2-complexes
$\sim_{Q^{**}}$	$\longleftrightarrow$	$\nearrow\!\searrow^{3}$

Conjecture [Andrews–Curtis, 1965]

Any contractible 2-complex 3-deforms to a point.

Discrete Morse theory

Let K be a **regular** CW-complex.



Discrete Morse theory

Let K be a **regular** CW-complex.

- A map $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete Morse function if for every cell $\boldsymbol{e^n}$ in K:

$$\#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} > e^{n-1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \leqslant f(e^{n-1})\} \leqslant 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} < e^{n+1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \geqslant f(e^{n+1})\} \leqslant 1.$$



Discrete Morse theory

Let K be a **regular** CW-complex.

- A map $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete Morse function if for every cell $\boldsymbol{e^n}$ in K:

  $$\#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} \succ e^{n-1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \leqslant f(e^{n-1})\} \leqslant 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} \prec e^{n+1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \geqslant f(e^{n+1})\} \leqslant 1.$$



Discrete Morse theory

Let K be a **regular** CW-complex.

- A map $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete Morse function if for every cell $\boldsymbol{e^n}$ in K:

  $$\#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} \succ e^{n-1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \leqslant f(e^{n-1})\} \leqslant 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} \prec e^{n+1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \geqslant f(e^{n+1})\} \leqslant 1.$$

- An n-cell $e^n \in K$ is a critical cell of index n if the values of f in every face and coface of e^n increase with dimension.



Discrete Morse theory

Let K be a **regular** CW-complex.

- A map $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete Morse function if for every cell $\boldsymbol{e^n}$ in K:

  $\#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} \succ e^{n-1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \leqslant f(e^{n-1})\} \leqslant 1$ and $\#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} \prec e^{n+1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \geqslant f(e^{n+1})\} \leqslant 1.$

- An n-cell $e^n \in K$ is a critical cell of index n if the values of f in every face and coface of e^n increase with dimension.



Let K be a **regular** CW-complex.

- A map $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete Morse function if for every cell $\boldsymbol{e^n}$ in K:

  $$\#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} > e^{n-1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \leqslant f(e^{n-1})\} \leqslant 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \#\{\boldsymbol{e^n} < e^{n+1} : f(\boldsymbol{e^n}) \geqslant f(e^{n+1})\} \leqslant 1.$$

- An n-cell $e^n \in K$ is a critical cell of index n if the values of f in every face and coface of e^n increase with dimension.



Morse theory for cell complexes

Theorem [Forman, 1995]

Let K be a regular CW-complex and let $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ be a discrete Morse function. Then K is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex $K_{\mathcal{M}}$ with exactly one cell of dimension k for every critical cell of index k.

Theorem [Forman, 1995]

Let K be a regular CW-complex and let $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ be a discrete Morse function. Then K is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex $K_{\mathcal{M}}$ with exactly one cell of dimension k for every critical cell of index k.



Morse theory and simple homotopy reconstruction

Goals:

Given K a regular CW-complex k of dimension n and a discrete Morse function $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$, we aim to:

- (re)construct the Morse complex $K_{\mathcal{M}}$,
- recover information about the simple homotopy type of K and moreover its $(n+1)-$deformation class.

Morse theory and collapses

Level subcomplex

$$K(c) = \bigcup_{\substack{e \in K \\ f(e) \leq c}} \bar{e}$$





Lema [F.]

Let K be a regular CW-complex.

Then, $f : K \to M$ is a discrete Morse function with critical cells C if and only if there exist a sequence of subcomplexes of K

$$K_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq K_1 \cdots \subseteq K_{N-1} \subseteq L_{N-1} \subseteq K_N = K$$

such that $K_j \searrow L_j$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ and the set of cells of K that was not collapsed in any of the collapses $K_j \searrow L_j$ is equal to C.

Morse theory and Whitehead deformations

Lema

Let K be a CW-complex of dimension $\leqslant n$. Let $\varphi : \partial D^n \to K$ be the attaching map of an n-cell e^n. If $K \searrow L$, then

$$K \cup e^n \nearrow\!\!\searrow^{n+1} L \cup \tilde{e}^n$$

where the attaching map $\tilde{\varphi} : \partial D^n \to L$ of $\tilde{e}^n$ is defined as $\tilde{\varphi} = r\varphi$ with $r : K \to L$ the canonical strong deformation retract induced by the collapse $K \searrow L$.



Lema

Let K be a CW-complex of dimension $\leqslant n$. Let $\varphi : \partial D^n \to K$ be the attaching map of an n-cell e^n. If $K \searrow L$, then

$$K \cup e^n \nearrow\!\!\searrow^{n+1} L \cup \tilde{e}^n$$

where the attaching map $\tilde{\varphi} : \partial D^n \to L$ of $\tilde{e}^n$ is defined as $\tilde{\varphi} = r\varphi$ with $r : K \to L$ the canonical strong deformation retract induced by the collapse $K \searrow L$.

Definition

We say that there is an **internal collapse** from $K \cup e^n$ to $L \cup \tilde{e}^n$.

Internal collapses

Theorem [F.]

Let K be a CW-complex on dimension n. Let

$$\varnothing = K_{-1} \subseteq L_0 \subseteq K_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq K_1 \cdots \subseteq L_N \subseteq K_N = K$$

be a sequence of subcomplexes of L such that $K_j \searrow L_j$ for all $j = 0, 1, \ldots N$. If $L_j = K_{j-1} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{d_j} e_i^j$, then

$$K \nearrow\!\!\searrow^{n+1} L_0 \cup \bigcup_{j=0}^{N} \bigcup_{i=1}^{d_j} \tilde{e}_i^j.^*$$

*Here, the attaching maps of the cells $\tilde{e}_i^j$ can be explicitly reconstructed from the internal collapses.

Theorem [F.]

Let K be a regular CW-complex of dimension n and let $f : K \to \mathbb{R}$ be discrete Morse function. Then, f induces a sequence of CW-subcomplexes of K

$$\varnothing = K_{-1} \subseteq L_0 \subseteq K_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq K_1 \cdots \subseteq L_N \subseteq K_N = K$$

such that $K_j \searrow L_j$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ and $L_j = K_{j-1} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{d_j} e_i^j$ with $\{e_i^j : 0 \leqslant j \leqslant N, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_j\}$ the set of critical cells of f. Moreover,

$$K \overset{n+1}{\nearrow\!\!\searrow} L_0 \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \bigcup_{i=1}^{d_j} \tilde{e}_i^j = K_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Example



Morse theory
for group presentations

Pipeline

Group presentations $\mathcal{P}$

Pipeline

Group presentations	$\mathcal{P}$
	$\rightsquigarrow$
CW-complexes	$K_{\mathcal{P}}$

Pipeline

Group presentations $\mathcal{P}$

$$\mathcal{P} \rightsquigarrow K'_{\mathcal{P}}$$

CW-complexes $K'_{\mathcal{P}}$

Pipeline

Group presentations $\mathcal{P}$

$\rightsquigarrow$

CW-complexes $K'_{\mathcal{P}} + f$

Pipeline


Group presentations
$\mathcal{P}$
CW-complexes
$K'_{\mathcal{P}}$
$+$
f
$(K'_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathcal{M}}$

Pipeline


Group presentations
$\mathcal{P}$
$\mathcal{P}_{(K'_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathcal{M}}}$
CW-complexes
$K'_{\mathcal{P}}$
$+$
f
$(K'_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathcal{M}}$

Pipeline


Group presentations
CW-complexes
$\mathcal{P}$
$\mathcal{P}_{(K'_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathcal{M}}}$
$K'_{\mathcal{P}}$
$+$
f
3
$(K'_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathcal{M}}$

Pipeline



Pipeline



We have an algorithmic description of $\mathcal{P}_{(K'_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathcal{M}}}$ from $\mathcal{P}$.

Example

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$$

Example

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$$



$K'_{\mathcal{P}}$

Example

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$$



$K'_{\mathcal{P}}$



$+ \qquad f : K'_{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathbb{R}$ discrete Morse function

Example: $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \langle x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \ldots, x_{15} \mid x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5,$$
$$x_7 x_2^{-1} x_5^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9 x_2^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11} x_3,\ x_{12} x_{11}^{-1} x_5,\ x_{13} x_3 x_4^{-1},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15} x_3,\ x_4 x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$$



Example: $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \langle x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \ldots, x_{15} \mid x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \\ x_7 x_2^{-1} x_5^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9 x_2^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11} x_3,\ x_{12} x_{11}^{-1} x_5,\ x_{13} x_3 x_4^{-1},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15} x_3,\ x_4 x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \langle x_6 \ldots, x_{15} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15},\ x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$$



Example: $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$

$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \langle x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \dots, x_{15} \mid x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5,$
$x_7 x_2^{-1} x_5^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9 x_2^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11} x_3,\ x_{12} x_{11}^{-1} x_5,\ x_{13} x_3 x_4^{-1},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15} x_3,\ x_4 x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$

$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \langle x_6 \dots, x_{15} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15},\ x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$

$\mathcal{Q}_2 = \langle x_6, \dots, x_{14} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{12} x_{14}^{-1} \rangle$



Example: $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \langle x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \ldots, x_{15} \mid x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5,$$
$$x_7 x_2^{-1} x_5^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9 x_2^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11} x_3,\ x_{12} x_{11}^{-1} x_5,\ x_{13} x_3 x_4^{-1},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15} x_3,\ x_4 x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \langle x_6 \ldots, x_{15} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15},\ x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_2 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_{14} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{12} x_{14}^{-1} \rangle$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_3 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_{13} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} \rangle$$



Example: $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^2, xy^{-2} \rangle$

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 = \langle x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, \ldots, x_{15} \mid x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_7 x_2^{-1} x_5^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9 x_2^{-1},\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11} x_3,\ x_{12} x_{11}^{-1} x_5,\ x_{13} x_3 x_4^{-1},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15} x_3,\ x_4 x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \langle x_6 \ldots, x_{15} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{14}^{-1} x_{15},\ x_{12} x_{15}^{-1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_2 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_{14} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} x_{14},\ x_{12} x_{14}^{-1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_3 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_{13} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{13},\ x_{12} x_{12} x_{13}^{-1} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_4 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_{12} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11} x_{12}^{-1},\ x_{12}^2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_5 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_{11} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^{-1} x_{11},\ x_{11}^2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_6 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_{10} \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ x_6^{-1} x_9^{-1} x_{10},\ x_{10}^2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_7 = \langle x_6, \ldots, x_9 \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ x_8^{-1} x_9,\ (x_9 x_6)^2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_8 = \langle x_6, x_7, x_8 \mid x_7,\ x_6^{-1} x_7^{-1} x_8,\ (x_8 x_6)^2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_9 = \langle x_6, x_7 \mid x_7, (x_7 x_6^2)^2 \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{10} = \langle x_6 \mid x_6^4 \rangle \sim_{Q**} \mathcal{P}$$



Applications to the Andrews–Curtis conjecture

Potential counterexamples

Theorem [F.]

The following balanced presentations of the trivial group satisfies the Andrews–Curtis conjecture:

- $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid xyx = yxy,\ x^2 = y^3 \rangle^{\dagger}$ [Akbulut & Kirby, 1985]
- $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x^{-1}y^3x = y^4,\ x = y^{-1}xyx^{-1} \rangle$ [Miller & Schupp, 1999]
- $\mathcal{P} = \langle x, y \mid x = [x^{-1}, y^{-1}], y = [y^{-1}, x^q] \rangle, \forall q \in \mathbb{N}$ [Gordon, 1984]

---

†First proved by Miasnikov in 2003 using genetic algorithms.

References

- *PhD Thesis:* X. F., *Combinatorial methods and algorithms in low-dimensional topology and the Andrews-Curtis conjecture*, University of Buenos Aires, 2017.
- *Preprint:* X. F., *3-deformations of 2-complexes and Morse Theory*, arXiv:1912.00115, 2019 *(new version soon)*.
- *Code:*
    - X. F., SageMath Module, `https://github.com/ximenafernandez/Finite-Topological-Spaces`
    - X. F., Kevin Piterman & Ivan Sadofschi Costa, GAP Package, `https://github.com/isadofschi/posets`

Work in progress: Computation of persistent fundamental group of point clouds.

email: `x.l.fernandez@swansea.ac.uk`

Thanks for your attention!